

# Certain aspects concerning conflicts of norms

*Dr. Heinrich Zens*

## 1. Final individual decisions violating directly applicable EU-law

### 1.1. Final administrative decisions

1.1.1. The case *Ciola*, C-224/97 – The end of legal validity?

1.1.2. The case *Kühne & Heitz NV*, C-453/00 – Restricted duty to reopen administrative procedures deriving from the principle of sincere cooperation

1.1.3. The cases *Willy Kempter KG*, C-2/06 and *i-21 Germany GmbH*, C-392/04 and 422/04 – The principle of procedural autonomy and the principle of equivalence

1.1.4. The case *Hristo Byankov*, C-249/11 – The principle of effectiveness

## 1.2. Final Court decisions in horizontal relationships

1.2.1. The Case *Rosmarie Kapferer*, C-234/04 – legal validity

1.2.2. The Case *Lucchini*, C-119/05 – special situation concerning state aid granted in violation of EU-law

1.2.3. The Case *Pizzarotti & C, SpA*, C-213/13 – principle of equivalence

1.2.4. The Case *Tarsia*, C-69/14 – when does the principle of equivalence apply?

1.2.5. The Case *Naderhirn*, C-581/14 – legal validity of legal views expressed by supreme courts in remittances

## **2. Final individual decisions violating ECHR – obligation to reopen procedures?**

The Decisions *Schelling vs Austria*, 16.9.2010, *Öcalan vs Turkey* 6.7.2010, *Verein gegen Tierfabriken Schweiz (Nr. 2)* 30.6.2009, *Volkov vs Ukraine* 9.1.2013, *Bochan vs Ukraine*, 5.2.2015

